## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

K. C. a minor child by her parents and next friends Nathaniel and Beth Clawson, NATHANIEL CLAWSON, BETH CLAWSON, M. W. a minor child by his parents and next friends Ryan and Lisa Welch, RYAN WELCH, LISA WELCH,	) ) ) ) )
A. M. a minor child by her mother and next friend Emily Morris, EMILY MORRIS,	) ) )
M. R. a minor child by his parent and next friend, Maria Rivera,	) )
MARIA RIVERA, CATHERINE BAST M.D., MOSAIC HEALTH AND HEALING ARTS,	) )
INC. all plaintiffs on their own behalf and on behalf of classes and sub-classes similarly situated,	) ) )
Plaintiffs,	) )
v.	) No. 1:23-cv-00595-JPH-KMB
THE INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF THE MEDICAL LICENSING BOARD OF INDIANA in their official capacities, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, INDIANA PROFESSIONAL LICENSING AGENCY in her official capacity, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF INDIANA in his official capacity, SECRETARY, INDIANA FAMILY AND SOCIAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION in her official capacity, INDIANA FAMILY AND SOCIAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION,	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )
Defendants.	)

ORDER ADOPTING PARTIES' PROPOSED JOINT SCHEDULE

The Parties have filed their Proposed Joint Schedule, [dkt. 22], related to discovery and

briefing of Plaintiffs' pending Motion for Preliminary Injunction, [dkt. 9], and Motion to Certify

Class, [dkt. 10]. The Magistrate Judge has reviewed the Parties' proposal and, being duly advised,

finds that good cause exists to adopt it.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Parties' Proposed Joint Schedule is

APPROVED, such that the Parties' proposed schedule for discovery and briefing related to

Plaintiffs' pending motions shall proceed as set forth therein. [Dkt. 22.] The Parties indicate their

preference that an oral argument be held on Plaintiff's preliminary injunction request, and the

District Judge will schedule such argument by separate scheduling order should he agree with the

Parties' preference that oral argument be conducted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the page limits set out by Local Rule 7-1(d) are

modified as follows for the preliminary injunction briefing: Plaintiffs' preliminary injunction

memorandum and Defendants' response may be up to 45 pages in length, and Plaintiffs' reply

memorandum may be up to 30 pages in length. All briefing exceeding the regular page limits

shall be consistent with Local Rule 7-1(e)(3).

SO ORDERED.

Date: 4/17/2023

United States Magistrate Judge

Southern District of Indiana

<u>Distribution</u>:

Electronic distribution to all counsel of record via CM/ECF